

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SALVADOR VENEGAS,

Plaintiff,

v.

E. MENDOZA, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 1:21-cv-00692-DAD-EPG (PC)

ORDER REQUIRING PARTIES TO
EXCHANGE DOCUMENTS

Salvador Venegas (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

On March 15, 2022, the Court issued an order requiring the parties to file scheduling and discovery statements. (ECF No. 35). The parties have now filed their statements. (ECF Nos. 39, 45).

The Court has reviewed this case and the parties’ statements. In an effort to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive disposition of this action,¹ the Court will direct that certain documents that are central to the dispute be promptly produced.²

¹ See, e.g., *United States v. W.R. Grace*, 526 F.3d 499, 508-09 (9th Cir. 2008) (“We begin with the principle that the district court is charged with effectuating the speedy and orderly administration of justice. There is universal acceptance in the federal courts that, in carrying out this mandate, a district court has the authority to enter pretrial case management and discovery orders designed to ensure that the relevant issues to be tried are identified, that the parties have an opportunity to engage in appropriate discovery and that the parties are adequately and timely prepared so that the trial can proceed efficiently and intelligibly.”).

² Advisory Committee Notes to 1993 Amendment to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure regarding Rule 26(a) (“The enumeration in Rule 26(a) of items to be disclosed does not prevent a court from requiring by order or local rule that the parties disclose additional information without a discovery request.”).

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Each party has sixty days from the date of service of this order to serve opposing parties, or their counsel, if represented, with copies of the following documents and/or evidence that they have in their possession, custody, or control, to the extent the parties have not already done so:³
 - a. Documents regarding exhaustion of Plaintiff's claims, including 602s, Form 22s, and responses from the appeals office.
 - b. All documents regarding Rules Violation Reports Log Numbers 7056476 and 7057242 or any other Rules Violation Report associated with the incident(s) alleged in the complaint, including disciplinary charges and findings.
 - c. Witness statements and evidence that were generated from investigation(s) related to the event(s) at issue in the complaint, such as an investigation stemming from the processing of Plaintiff's grievance(s).⁴
 - d. Incident reports regarding the use of force incident(s) alleged in the complaint, including Incident Report Log Number 17207 and photographs of evidence logged.
 - e. All of Plaintiff's medical records related to the incident and/or condition at issue in the case, including Plaintiff's Unit Health Record.
 - f. Chronos for transfer or Administrative Segregation placement related to

³ Defense counsel is requested to obtain these documents from Plaintiff's institution(s) of confinement. If defense counsel is unable to do so, defense counsel should inform Plaintiff that a third party subpoena is required.

⁴ See *Woodford v. Ngo*, 548 U.S. 81, 94-95 (2006) ("[P]roper exhaustion improves the quality of those prisoner suits that are eventually filed because proper exhaustion often results in the creation of an administrative record that is helpful to the court. When a grievance is filed shortly after the event giving rise to the grievance, witnesses can be identified and questioned while memories are still fresh, and evidence can be gathered and preserved.").

The Court notes that Defendant(s) only need to produce documents such as a Confidential Appeal Inquiry or a Use of Force Critique to the extent those documents contain witness statements related to the incident(s) alleged in the complaint and/or evidence related to the incident(s) alleged in the complaint that will not be provided to Plaintiff separately.

the incident(s) alleged in the complaint, including the Administrative Segregation Unit Placement Notice dated January 15, 2021.

- g. Video recordings and photographs related to the incident(s) at issue in the complaint, including video recordings and photographs of Plaintiff taken following the incident(s), the photographs of Plaintiff and Defendants Mendoza, Cornejo, Grimsley, and Navarro taken on January 15, 2021, and the video interview of Plaintiff obtained January 21, 2021.⁵
 - h. Documents identified in Defendants' scheduling statement, including the Holding Cell Logs dated January 15, 2021; the CDCR form 7219 Medical Report of Injury or Unusual Occurrence dated January 15, 2021; Plaintiff's Central File, including documents concerning felony convictions and Administrative Segregation Placement; Plaintiff's mail logs; maintenance and repair records from Wasco State Prison, Administrative Segregation Unit; and documents from *People v. Venegas*, Kern County Superior Court No. DF016243A.
2. If any party obtains documents and/or other evidence described above later in the case from a third party, that party shall provide all other parties with copies of the documents and/or evidence within thirty days.
 3. Parties do not need to produce documents or evidence that they have already produced.
 4. Parties do not need to produce documents or evidence that were provided to them by the opposing party.
 5. Parties may object to producing any of the above-listed documents and/or evidence. Objections shall be filed with the Court and served on all other parties within sixty days from the date of service of this order (or within thirty days of

⁵ If Plaintiff is not allowed possess, or is unable to play, video recording(s), defense counsel shall work with staff at Plaintiff's institution of confinement to ensure that Plaintiff is able to view the video recording(s).

1 receiving additional documents and/or evidence). The objection should include
2 the basis for not providing the documents and/or evidence. If Defendant(s)
3 object based on the official information privilege, Defendant(s) shall follow the
4 procedures described in the Court's scheduling order. If a party files an
5 objection, all other parties have fourteen days from the date the objection is filed
6 to file a response. If any party files a response to an objection, the Court will
7 issue a ruling on the objection.

8
9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated: May 27, 2022

11 /s/ Eric P. Grogan
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28